

March 5, 1957.

Colonel John C Nickerson  
Guided Missile Program Officer  
Redstone Arsenal  
Huntsville Ala.

Dear Colonel :

Being a firm believer in the great American Principals of JUSTICE and FAIR PLAY, may I presume to offer you my services as a witness during your forthcoming Court Martial. What I have to offer will I am sure be to our mutual benefit.

This offer is predicated upon my own experiences with the Army while serving as a civilian Security Investigator with the Detroit Ordnance District, August 1950 until September 1953. During this period, one of my major assignments was responsibility for security involving the Redstone Missile Contract held by Chrysler Corporation when it was classified as "Secret".

My testimony if accepted and permitted will include information and documentary evidence setting forth Army Personnel's complete disregard for and approval of security violations some of which were subversive in nature and involved the "REDSTONE MISSILE". (These violations were committed by Army personnel and in no way can be charged off to the Chrysler Corp.)

The attached enclosure outlines in a very brief manner a few of the salient features of my complaint but I am certain it contains sufficient information to satisfy you that I have something to say.

Although our cases may differ to a great extent, you at least will have the advantage of being heard, while I have been denied that privilege simply because I am not a veteran. The Army has ruled that I am "NOT ENTITLED" to appeal rights because of my non-veteran status and the US SENATE COMMITTEE on CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS has likewise denied me a hearing for similar reasons.

Surely, if this degradation of the CONSTITUTION, DISREGARD for SECURITY, INCOMPETENCE and all of the other factors which have made AMERICA great continues, we are riding into oblivion and disaster beyond the powers of comprehension.

Although I am not familiar with any phase of your case I sincerely hope that you will at least have the advantage of a fair and impartial trial. Good luck.

Sincerely yours,

*Harry M. Moriarty*

Harry M Moriarty  
Apt. 306  
7435 Grand River Ave.  
Detroit 4 Mich.

I encl.

# ATTACHMENT NO.1 TO BASIC COMMUNICATION.

Herewith are the basic reasons why I regard my release as a Security Investigator from the Detroit Ordnance District, as unfair, unjust, irregular and in violation of both Army and Security regulations.

- (1) I contend that my release was deliberately effected as a retaliation measure against me by the Civilian Supervisor for having submitted to the Commanding Officer June 28, 1953, a complaint concerning his subversive activities and other irregularities in the office.
- (2) The Commanding Officer's failure to take any action on this complaint, thereby allowing such irregularities to continue.
- (3) The inconsistency of releasing one employee to provide employment of another in an office inactivated thirty days before.
- (4) Providing employment as a Security Investigator for an individual, who, following an Army Intelligence Investigation and subsequent hearing was obliged to resign a similar position while with the USAAF during World War 2 because of INFIDELITY, DISLOYALTY and FALSIFICATION of AFFIDAVITS.
- (5) The fact that subject replacement's 201 file contains no reference to this incident indicates that he has not only withheld pertinent information regarding previous employment but has also falsified his answers to question 31 of every USCS form 57 completed since that time. (Violation of U S Code, Title 18, Section 80).
- (6) Improper application of the Veterans Preference Act which has interfered with my duty as an employee and denied me my Constitutional Rights to protest and appeal such action.

As the District Commanding Officer completely disregarded my efforts as an employee and failed to take any action on my complaint of June 28, 1953, it is difficult to understand former Secretary Stevens statement, that my allegations concerning security violations were not substantiated and that District Operations were "satisfactory". A few examples of these operations are also listed herewith.

- (1) Granting security clearance and permission to visit a Military Installation to an ALIEN, as a CITIZEN of the UNITED STATES.
- (2) Granting "secret" clearance and permission to visit the EUCOM, to contractor's personnel without the required background investigation.
- (3) Supervisor's refusal to process information concerning potential enemies of the U S which this writer acquired and compiled on instructions from the Military Officer in Charge.
- (4) Activities obstructing the National Defense Program.
- (5) Failing to investigate personnel regarded as security risks, and employing them in security work in violation of regulations.
- (6) Activities in Oct. 1952, which I regarded as a violation of the Hatch Act.
- (7) Intolerable and unbelievable working conditions.
- (8) Office irregularities concerning inspections made ~~by~~ by the Inspector General and C.I.C.

Fully cognizant of the severity of these charges, be advised that I am ready, willing and able to present information which will substantiate these and many other similar irregularities, if and when higher authority is so willing.

\*\*\*\*\*

# Refers to a letter submitted to Secretary Brucker, Nov. 12, 1955 thru G W DeCamp Office, Chief of Ordnance. (Never answered.)